

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/105,844	06/26/1998	USHA UPADHYAYULA	INTL-0055(P5	6060	
75	590 11/12/2002				
TIMOTHY N TROP			EXAMINER		
TROP PRUNER & HU 8554 KATY FREEWAY STE 100			ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J		
HOUSTON, TX	X 77024		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2171		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No. 09/105,844	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	00/105 844		
•	09/103,844	UPADHYAYULA ET	ſAL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment white beal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for bire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail NAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Cood of extension and the corresponding and e of the shortened statutory period for replications of the shortened statutory period for replications of the shortened statutory period for replications.	ing date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approposition of the fee. The approposition of the fee. The approposition in the final the f	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:	·		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)	proved by the Exami	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u></u> :/	
10. Other:	SUF	SAFET METJAHIO PERVISORY PATENT E)	KAMINER







Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to Applicant's argument that the Starkweather reference does not disclose the "associated image" feature, the Examiner however respectfully disagrees. As Applicant has noted, the Starkweather device profile is based on data that is derived from image information. Therefore, it is based on associated image information.

In response to Applicant's argument regarding Claim 22 that the Starkweather reference does not disclose receiving a graphical object having an image and device profile information part and a data part, the Examiner however respectfully disagree. The image and device profile information part and a data part was noted in the final action mailed on 11 September, 2002 under the 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejection.

In response to Applicant's argument regarding the no specific hint or suggestion for combining the two references, the Examiner however respectfully disagrees. The Examiner however respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the general knowledge available to an ordinary skilled in the art is the "generating a device profile" which is found in Strakweather; Col 8, Line 19 and other parts of the reference..